For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
EMILIYA KARAYEVA,	No. C06-02197 MJJ
Petitioner,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
v.	
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SEC	URITY,
Respondents.	

Before the court is Petitioner's Petition For Hearing On Naturalization Application pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1447(b). Respondents have filed an Answer. Petitioner applied for naturalization as a United States Citizen on August 4, 2003, and is petitioning for a judicial determination of her naturalization application and a declaration that she is entitled to be naturalized as a United States citizen.

Pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1447(b), "[i]f there is a failure to make a [naturalization] determination . . . before the end of the 120-day period after the date on which the examination is conducted . . . the applicant may apply to the United States district court . . . for a hearing on the matter. Such court has jurisdiction over the matter and may either determine the matter or remand the matter, with appropriate instructions, to the Service to determine the matter." The 120-day postexamination period begins to run once the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services ("CIS") conducts its initial naturalization interview. Al-Kudsi v. Gonzales, Case No. CV 05-1584-PK, 2006 WL 752556 *2 n.3 (D. Or. Mar. 22, 2006); Essa v. U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, 2005 . .

Petitioner was examined by CIS on October 1, 2004, commencing the 120-day period within which the government must issue a naturalization ruling. The instant petition was filed on March 27, 2006, nearly 18 months after the CIS interview of Petitioner, and Respondents have not yet made a decision. Respondents cite ongoing security checks, but have failed to give good cause for the delay in completing these checks in a timely manner.

Respondents are hereby **ORDERED** to show good cause, by **SEPTEMBER 5, 2006**, as to why the have not issued a naturalization decision. Petitioner may file a response to Respondents' brief by September 19, 2006. The parties' briefs are not to exceed 15 pages. Failure to respond will result in the Court remanding the matter to the CIS for a expedited determination.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

WL 3440827, *2 (D.Minn.,2005).

Dated July 31, 2006

MARTIN J. JENKINS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE